

## СЕКЦІЯ: ІСТОРИЧНІ НАУКИ

УДК: 323

Kupriianova L.S.  
Kharkiv National University of Internal Affairs,  
Kupriianova D.S.  
The National University of Opole  
(Opole, Poland)

### THE THEORY OF THE ELITES' CYCLES OF WILFREDO PARETO. THE EGOCENTRIC THEORY OF THE DIVISION OF THE SOCIETY INTO DOMINANTS AND SUBMISSIVES, OR JUST A NEXT OPPORTUNITY TO JUSTIFY THE FASCISM?

The life of this person began at those times, when on the streets of Europe you could see bloodshed battle for survival, it was a great period of the Spring of Nations. Since earlier childhood Wilfredo saw the war with his own eyes, he saw the fact, as some people reach their goals by lying to others, but make others suffer; he saw as those people in the aim of satisfaction their greedy interests, burn the whole quarters, and as cities dead. By such reality this future father of the sociological theory of all times was surrounded.

Due to building his personality, under the prism of all events we have talking about earlier it was growing his own doctrine, which was gonna be an explanation of a great number of things and main characteristic features in the behavior of the society. This doctrine was gonna to explain almost everything about character of ourselves, without finding out such logical connection as our social position or our age; this doctrine had no connection with times, with history, and it had millions and millions of followers all around the world.

Wilfredo Pareto explained, why we have a constant changing of two periods: of the peace and of the war; why some people conquer, and others, at the same time, try to keep a peace around themselves; it seems to be, that he perfectly knew, what, everyone among the worlds the most famous conquerors, kept in their minds, when they began a war. And this, actually, became a topic of our article, because of the fact, that during our research, as more and more acute we postulate a thought, that, practically unconscious, but Wilfredo Pareto could create not only a sketch of the society of all times, he could create a structure of behavior of every person; he could open slightly the veil of mysteries of all generations for the question: how, on the beginning of the XX century, the rule in Germany enslaved fascists?

Of course, there are absolutely no doubts, that the common sources of the literature are full of bright treatises of such phenomenon. Long time ago, it was created such an unofficial concordance among presenters of doctrine of those times, that generally Erich Fromm in his "Escape from freedom" was right, and German people, on the beginning of the XX century, were really depressed and exhausted. And, accurately, at those moments came Hitler and enslaved the rule. Those people had no place, where they could escape from that rule, or where they could hide themselves for a period of time. After the tragic end of the World War I, Germany, as a European department crashed, it was ruined in blood, people

became slaves though, so they were ready for every ruler and every force, who could return them feel of freedom and peace after those dark times. They were ready for everything, and even, if this feel would enslave them in greater measure.

Hitler was not only a professional of war's tactic, he was incredibly self-confident personality. He was a such person, without whom an exhausted morally German nation had been suffering for a long time. He was a truthful genius and the God with Body, and, actually, because of this fact, all ways to fortune were opened for him. Erich Fromm underlines, that the German nation of those times, those people with broken spirit, suffered not because of the lack of freedom, they suffered without such person, who could return them a belief in tomorrow, a belief in themselves. They was in need in such person, who could enslave the whole rule in the broken country as a dictator; they suffered without person, who could enslave their own freedom, but it sounds banally though, from the side of the democratic countries with the rule of law of modern times.

Adolf Hitler - is a dictator, who appeared in a suitable time, and in a suitable place. It was a person, who was able to find out himself, first of all, in such circumstances, and to win all of these imaginary battles and to became a great winner in them. He was such person, who could be named a dominant under the submissive-nation in the theory of the modern psychology. He was a such ruler, without whom a German nation was really suffered from lack of confidence for such a long time. And it was logical, that those people were actually addicted from the phenomenon of Hitler, as Fromm says. Because of the fact, that "Every German person of those times was a sadomasochist, so they did not need a freedom, they need a dominant" - Fromm says.

In addition, Machiavelli, could name such phenomenon as a "person with features of the vertu, or person-entrepreneur, person-winner".

But, unfortunately, it was only one side of the medal. All of these theories are logic and genial enough, but only from the one point of view. But we could absolutely justify the Fascism and Nazism as a common event of the national dictatorship of the XX century, by following the idea, that Hitler and Mussolini were only great strategists, and, eventually, by following also an idea, that people of those times, because of the emotional stress and depression, could easily no forces to fight with such strong and ambitious personalities and, what is more, they did not want to do it, because, they live somehow, even after the war.

The great theory of Fromm, as well as, generally, the theory of the Personality of the Machiavelli, could be only accepted as a confirmation of details, of some facts in the whole spectrum of events in our history, but they could not explain the whole phenomenon though.

Wilfredo Pareto, from his side, does not provide a research dedicated to the emotional depress of the society, under the prism of the individual circumstance, he considers a difference between personalities of all people, by looking through societies since the Middle Ages. He returns to the source, to the epoch of the Plato, and, again and again, he wants to confirm, that the society of all ages, really, divides into two parts: into the elite from the one side, and into the mass from another. But, now, he makes an important difference: bu dividing all societies of all ages in such way, Pareto does not want to think, how to provide for the elite such education, to make them better in dedication to make this elite to rule the mass in

successful way in future; according to the his theory, Pareto leans to the conclusion about the war, as a logic result of the fight between different elites.

Moreover, by following an opposite scientific way against Machiavelli, Pareto claims, that existing of the elite is not addicted from the entrepreneurship ability of every person among those ambitious ones; elite - is a natural and inevitable phenomenon, which is, at the same time, is a background of every society, because of the fact, that “ history – is a cemetery of the aristocracy”. Due to this point of view, Pareto leads to the theoretical background of the doctrine of Leon XIII, and to thoughts of the last one about origins of private property, as a right of personal freedom, impossible for boarding.

Pareto looks into the prism of the history, by saying, that the History – is an endless and continuous cycle of the elite’s presenters changing. Or maybe actually changing of elites personally? Times change, mass are going forward and back, again and again, but, truly, the common sense is collected around the idea, to make the second part of the society – to make elites live in peace and love, because the whole peace in the world in general is connected with constant living in peace and love between presenters of the “new” and the “old one” elites.

In this way, by having no ability to know, what is going on to be ten years later, Pareto explains the phenomenon of the Fascism and Nazism easier and logically, than it could be done by Erich Fromm ex post. Due to his theory, the development, as well as life in general, are connected with the direct changing of the elite; and changing of the world is directly addicted from the “fresh young breath of the elite”. Elites could be different: there are such elites, that are providing the process of the World’s development by living in peace in others and making scientific research; and there are opposite ones - they see the way to success only by fighting on the war with others. You know, after all, the war is an engine of the progress, is not it?

By such thoughts we could lean to the conclusion, that by getting the rule, Hitler and Mussolini, could be described as those people, who got it as a logical result of some circumstance. Those historical personalities were only presenters of the new guards of elite in their society; and they were, in addition, presenters of those group, which leans tot he success by fighting on the war, unfortunately.

Because of this fact, if the German nation was actually presented by submissives at those times – it could be a greatest question for now; but the fact, that Hitler, for example, according to the theory of the Machiavelli, was a person with vertu, it could be probably perfectly confirmed by us.

To sum up, we could only underline the fact, that the history is, from time to time, addicted generally, not from the genius postulate “The History develops according to spiral”, and, consequently, we do not need to looking for the reason of all our unfortunates in the lack of knowledge of the historical process of our world. We should only remember the fact, that everyone could make a fault, even such person, who knows history perfectly. And if everything would be so easy, and everything gonna actually to repeat continuously, we could not have a self-development and, consequently, it would gonna be no reason to continue. So, the idea, that our our History develops continuously is not because of itself, but because of some important psychological and sociological aspects, though.

Wilfredo Pareto not is in vain treatise as a father of the sociological idea of the modern times. In the easy sentence he could combine not only the common

sense of our life on this Earth; he could open eyes of ourselves to understanding the accountability of everyone among us for our common good and common future in peace generally. In fact, “we could not eliminate a fact of elites’ existing. Elite – is a background of the society and the history as well. But, its development is connected with the fact, how this development gonna to be provided by us personally. As in the way of the murder and robbery? Or by living in peace and love?”. And here we should remember a gold idea of the Plato and his doctrine, which was directed into the character of the education of elites’ presenters, in honor of their successful and long rule under the society of “masses”.

Wilfredo Pareto – is a genial scientists and theorists of the sociological doctrine of all times. He made all of us not only be addicted from the idea of impossibility to change some circumstance; he changed the whole process of our perception of the history and its influence on people’ action of the newest generation!

#### **SOURCES OF THE LITERATURE**

1. Wilfredo Pareto “Treatise of the common sociology”, 1916
2. Plato “Dialogues”
3. Henryk Olszewski, Krystyna Chojnicka “Historia doktryn politycznych i prawnych”
4. Erich Fromm “Escape from freedom”
5. Nicollo Machiavelli “The Prince”.