# **SCIENTIFIC COLLECTION INTERCONF+**



THE ISSUE CONTAINS:

**Proceedings of the 4th International Scientific** and Practical Conference

## **SCIENTIFIC TRENDS AND TRENDS IN** THE CONTEXT OF GLOBALIZATION



**UMEÅ, SWEDEN** 19-20.08.2022



# **SCIENTIFIC COLLECTION «INTERCONF»**

**№ 121 | August, 2022.**

**THE ISSUE CONTAINS:**

Proceedings of the 4<sup>th</sup> International Scientific and Practical Conference

## SCIENTIFIC TRENDS AND TRENDS IN THE CONTEXT OF GLOBALIZATION

UMEÅ, SWEDEN 19-20.08.2022

> UMEÅ 2022

#### UDC 001.1



**S 40** Scientific Collection «InterConf», (121): with the Proceedings of the 4<sup>th</sup> International Scientific and Practical Conference «Scientific Trends and Trends in the Context of Globalization» (August 19-20, 2022). Umeå, Sweden: Mondial, 2022. 409 p.

ISBN 978-91-8002-432-7 DOI [10.51582/interconf.19-20.08.2022](https://doi.org/10.51582/interconf.19-20.08.2022)

#### [Anna Svoboda](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4923-7167) <sup>®</sup>

#### EDITOR COORDINATOR

Doctoral student University of Economics, Czech Republic annasvobodaprague@yahoo.com

Mariia Granko<sup>n</sup> Coordination Director in Ukraine Scientific Publishing Center InterConf info@interconf.top

#### EDITORIAL BOARD

[Temur Narbaev](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8743-2257)<sup><sup>®</sup> (PhD)</sup> Tashkent Pediatric Medical Institute, Republic of Uzbekistan; [temur1972@inbox.ru](mailto:temur1972@inbox.ru)

[Nataliia Mykhalitska](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8912-8005) <sup>(D</sup> (PhD in Public Administration) Lviv State University of Internal Affairs, Ukraine

Dan Goltsman (Doctoral student) Riga Stradiņš University, Republic of Latvia;

Katherine Richard (DSc in Law), Hasselt University, Kingdom of Belgium [katherine.richard@protonmail.com;](mailto:katherine.richard@protonmail.com)

Richard Brouillet (LL.B.), University of Ottawa, Canada;

[Stanyslav Novak](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7339-1135)<sup>D</sup> (DSc in Engineering) University of Warsaw, Poland [novaks657@gmail.com;](mailto:novaks657@gmail.com)

Kanako Tanaka (PhD in Engineering), Japan Science and Technology Agency, Japan;

Mark Alexandr Wagner (DSc. in Psychology) University of Vienna, Austria [mw6002832@gmail.com;](mailto:mw6002832@gmail.com)

Alexander Schieler (PhD in Sociology), Transilvania University of Brasov, Romania

[Svitlana Lykholat](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0517-6852) <sup>(D</sup> (PhD in Economics), Lviv Polytechnic National University, Ukraine [Dmytro Marchenko](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0808-2923)<sup>1</sup> (PhD in Engineering) Mykolayiv National Agrarian University (MNAU), Ukraine;

Rakhmonov Aziz Bositovich (PhD in Pedagogy) Uzbek State University of World Languages, Republic of Uzbekistan;

[Mariana Veresklia](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7061-9066) <sup>(D</sup> (PhD in Pedagogy) Lviv State University of Internal Affairs, Ukraine

Dr. Albena Yaneva (DSc. in Sociology and Antropology), Manchester School of Architecture, UK;

Vera Gorak (PhD in Economics) Karlovarská Krajská Nemocnice, Czech Republic [veragorak.assist@gmail.com;](mailto:veragorak.assist@gmail.com)

[Polina Vuitsik](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1905-6528)<sup>®</sup> (PhD in Economics) Jagiellonian University, Poland [p.vuitsik.prof@gmail.com;](mailto:p.vuitsik.prof@gmail.com)

Elise Bant (LL.D.), The University of Sydney, Australia;

George McGrown (PhD in Finance) University of Florida, USA [mcgrown.geor@gmail.com;](mailto:mcgrown.geor@gmail.com)

Vagif Sultanly (DSc in Philology) Baku State University, Republic of Azerbaijan

Kamilə [Əliağa qızı Əliyeva](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9806-5958) (DSc in Biology) Baku State University, Republic of Azerbaijan

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact a coordinator Mariia Granko.

#### The recommended styles of citation:

 1. Surname N. (2022). Title of article or abstract. *Scientific Collection «InterConf»,* (121): with the Proceedings of the 4th International Scientific and Practical Conference «Scientific Trends and Trends in the Context of Globalization» (August 19-20, 2022) at Umeå, Sweden; pp. 21-27. Available at: https://interconf.top/...

2. Surname N. (2022). Title of article or abstract. *InterConf,* (121), 21-27. Retrieved from https://interconf.top/...

This issue of Scientific Collection «InterConf» contains the International Scientific and Practical Conference. The conference provides an interdisciplinary forum for researchers, practitioners and scholars to present and discuss the most recent innovations and developments in modern science. The aim of conference is to enable academics, researchers, practitioners and college students to publish their research findings, ideas, developments, and innovations.

> © 2022 Mondial © 2022 Authors of the abstracts © 2022 Scientific Publishing Center «InterConf»

*contact e-mail: info@interconf.top webpage: www.interconf.top*



#### <span id="page-4-0"></span>*[DO](https://u24.gov.ua/)I [10.51582/interconf.19-20.08.2022.023](https://doi.org/10.51582/interconf.19-20.08.2022.023)*

#### **Parag Udaysinh More**

Medical Student, Odesa National Medical University, Ukraine

#### **Sachin Kumar Singh**

Medical Student, Odesa National Medical University, Ukraine

#### **Pervak Mykhailo Pavlovych**

PhD, Associate Professor, Department of Simulation Medical Technologies, Odesa National Medical University, Ukraine

#### **Yehorenko Olha Serhiivna**

Assistant Professor, Head of Educational Process, Department of Simulation Medical Technologies, Odesa National Medical University, Ukraine

#### **Rogachevsky Oleksandr Petrovych**

Doctor of Economic Science, Candidate of Medical Science, Head of the Department of Simulation Medical Technologies, Odesa National Medical University, Ukraine

## **REVIEW OF SIMULATION MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES IMPACT ON MODERN EDUCATION**

*Abstract. The adoption of simulation-based medical teaching and learning is one of the key phases in curriculum development. Instead of learning through apprenticeship, medical simulation enables the development of clinical skills through purposeful practice. Role-playing games and patient simulations are examples of human simulations. Manikins and computer-based simulations are examples of non-human simulations. At the undergraduate and graduate levels, medical simulation has been shown to improve clinical competence. Additionally, it has been* 

*discovered to have several benefits that can raise patient safety and lower medical expenses. Keywords: simulation, training, medicine, students, education.*

#### **Introduction**

A simulation center can recreate actual healthcare situations through clinical simulation in a setting that is secure enough for learning and experimentation [43], [44].

In a wide range of industries, including aerospace and the armed services, simulation has a long and rich history [38]. An area of simulation that is relevant to teaching and training in medical disciplines across numerous businesses is a medical simulation, or healthcare simulation more generally. It is possible to hold simulations in the classroom with the primary goal of teaching medical professionals how to minimize errors during general practice, surgery, prescription, and crisis interventions.

Teaching hospitals and medical schools are institutions that provide training in medicine by simulating medical procedures.

Simulator training gives students the chance to put theory into practice and gain expertise in tasks that would otherwise be challenging without endangering patients, such as managing emergencies and performing CPR.

Both at the undergraduate and graduate levels, medical simulation is a useful educational addition to healthcare training. It makes it possible to gain knowledge, skills, and attitudes in a secure, educationally focused, and effective way [45], [46].

#### **Simulation Technologies in Medical Education**

The purpose of simulation in medical education is to instruct medical students and enhance their performance by simulating a complex real-world process artificially and in a simplified way [2]. Immersive learning, student reflection on their performances, and the teacher's in-person feedback all support the learning process [1]. Models with suitable levels of detail can be used for simulation as well as simulated/standardized patients. Depending on the difficulty of the work to be taught and the amount of financial help available, it is necessary to decide on the model's faithfulness [1], [2], [3]. Similar to traditional teaching techniques,

simulation-based education has increased students' knowledge, understanding, and a variety of crucial abilities during undergraduate pharmacy study [31].

#### **Dimensions and Benefits of Simulation**

The use of simulation in medical education enables students to develop the organizational skills necessary to respond to real-life situations without endangering the patients' moral or legal rights [2], [4]. Additionally, it contributes significantly to the development of the medical student's attitude and skills (such as communication, problem-solving, and clinical abilities), which lowers the risk of accidents and enhances patient safety [3], [4], [50]. Undergraduate students can receive training in basic and advanced life skill support, the management of crises such as pericardial tamponade and tension pneumothorax, as well as how to deliver terrible news to a simulated patient. Similar to undergraduate students, postgraduate students can receive training for enhancing communication abilities or for particular procedures pertinent to their profession [3].

The usage of simulation ranges in complexity from the straightforward recreation of individual body parts to the sophisticated portrayal of human interactions by simulated patients or high-fidelity human patient simulators that replicate the entire body's appearance and fluctuating physiological data [7].

#### **Healthcare Ethics Education Using Simulation-Based Learning**

Millions of individuals die or suffer severe injuries each year as a result of mistakes in medical procedures. Therefore, safe care be made possible, medical errors are reduced in procedures, and changing health situations are dealt with quickly. Simulator-based ethical education has grown in popularity in recent years as a means of educating people with strong professional ethics and competence in their fields. A simulation is a teaching tool that incorporates moral and legal principles. In this context, it is intended for all health sectors to incorporate simulation-based training in areas like self-rule, patient consent, patient defensiveness, empathy, communication skills, and care for particular patient populations [6], [28], [35].

#### **The Implementation of Simulation in a New Medical College**

All medical colleges should strive for the gradual inclusion of simulation in

their settings since it has a clear place in the efficient delivery of medical education [3]. The simulation-based instruction can begin with simulated/standardized patients who only need little financial assistance and are utilized to hone the abilities to gather histories, communicate, and perform routine physical examinations [2], [5]. The institutions might gradually spend money on modest simulations (such as lumbar puncture trainers and venepuncture arms) and teach or practice fundamental abilities. As time goes on, further equipment might be used and purchased based on the funding sources [3], [5]. To get the best possible use and output from these simulators, the faculty members must be taught about their use [5].

#### **Issues in Medical simulation**

A multidisciplinary and difficult field, medical simulation is. It is a theoretical and applied field that emphasizes several methodologies, paradigms, modeling, and disciplines [8]. The requirement for medical simulators that truly employ multimodeling to show visual, auditory, haptic, and olfactory representations is one of the major difficulties in medical simulation [9]. And based on that, the difficulty at hand is the correlation of the various displays since there needs to be a proper relationship between how the user receives sensory inputs and how the user interacts [8], [9].

In the modern day, clinical, operational, and management processes produced by medical simulation are apparent and simple to comprehend in terms of their merits and benefits [8]. Medical simulation is still a sophisticated and highly technical instrument that is difficult for non-technical users to understand, even though the fact that the healthcare profession is now more aware of it and prepared for it. Users may become resistant to the challenge, which is viewed as a barrier to successful simulation adoption in the healthcare industry. This barrier is caused by the fact that thorough simulation, like in the case of medical simulation, takes a lot of time and effort [9]. Human-Simulator Interfaces are frequently crucial, as data has also demonstrated. A medical simulator-designed interface should accurately reflect the real world and be simple to use to prevent errors and poor training, and provide a complete solution for various cases in medical simulation (such as performing surgery on a patient or training for healthcare practices) [9]. As a result, every healthcare professional, including doctors, students, and students-at-heart,

should handle devices that have the same appearance and feel as their real-world equivalents [37]. It can be difficult to meet the requirements for these tools, particularly if the simulator is used for open surgery, where there are many more degrees of freedom and instruments than during minimally invasive procedures. The user concludes the prior challenge by healthcare simulation, acceptance is a crucial issue.

Although data gathering is difficult in the context of healthcare simulation, a simulation model can only produce useful and accurate results depending on the input data. Medical simulation tool designers frequently struggle to provide their simulation models with enough input data in the healthcare industry, which results in outcomes that are only loosely accurate. Data collecting is difficult because there are no appropriate formats for historical data, data collection must be done over a lengthy period of time, and meeting with healthcare experts for data collection and verification purposes is challenging because of their busy schedules [8]. Complete, accurate, and true input data are required. As decision support systems, the entered data are very important in determining how well a health professional is doing when making decisions. Simulation models may need to be integrated with the organization's information systems to support daily operations in order to enable appropriate data collection.

An in-depth study has been done on validation and verification in medical simulation. Because it would be unwise, if not disastrous, to base any judgments or forecasts on the model results without performing thorough testing and validation, it is regarded as a true devil. Innovative modeling techniques, particularly for complicated models, and model validation should be employed to overcome this obstacle. Additionally, a technique like the recently developed Collaborative, Participative, Interactive Modelling method, in which models are created jointly with user engagement utilizing medical simulation, can improve model verification [8].

#### **Human factors in medical simulation**

Despite the fact that medical simulation offered the best solutions for handling healthcare concerns, there have been fewer successful implementations and

developments than in the industrial sector [16]. High levels of competition are present in both domestic and international markets for modern hospitals and clinics. Patients, doctors, medical students, and trainees are also calling for higher quality health care services to be provided at acceptable costs. Notably, research from [10] suggests that the form of medical simulation models should be such that it maximizes the safety and calibre of healthcare systems [39-41]. On the other side, employing reliable simulation systems may make systems more complex and have a detrimental effect on their effectiveness. Software solutions consequently become available as a result of this.

- 1. The healthcare systems' complexity and myriad linkages [11].
- 2. Expensive simulation tool costs [12].
- 3. Errors in medicine [13].
- 4. Absence of pertinent data and trustworthy tools [14].
- 5. Poor usability and a difficult-to-use UI.

The safety of medical simulation technologies is greatly impacted by human error [42]. As was noted, design flaws in the human-machine interface are commonly to blame for human errors. Physicians, operators, and healthcare providers will be put in situations where the demands placed on them are unrealistic from a psychological standpoint if the system and interface design was not done with human capabilities and by considering the limitations of the cognitive, perception, and physical human factors [15]. A mistake will inevitably happen as a result.

By creating interfaces that take into consideration human capabilities and limitations, the field of human factors, often known as ergonomics, addresses the concerns and challenges related to medical simulation that have been identified. Human safety is gravely threatened by the design phase's disregard for human factors. Following design guidelines for medical simulation could reduce human error and improve tool comprehension.

The principles of human factors design that might be used as an example include [15].

1. After every action, the user should receive immediate feedback.

2. Clearly and visibly display the various controls' functionalities.

3. Messages displayed should be simple to comprehend.

4. Reduce as much as you can the demand on the users' memory.

5. Make people more productive by giving them shortcuts.

6. If the medical simulation tool has an interface, provide it with clearly indicated exits for the user to depart the system.

#### **Establishing a simulated training facility**

It can be used for competency testing prior to recruitment, residency training, continuing medical or nursing education (practical skills), undergraduate training (such as in the study of anatomy, physiological functions, and familiarization with medical examination techniques), and residency training (mastering procedural skills and techniques) [17], [32], [33]. First, there needs to be a convenient location, which is typically on a hospital or university campus for proximity's sake. The center's trainers and end users must be consulted when choosing the architecture plan and infrastructure. Among other amenities, it must have enough room for setting up equipment, rooms with one-way mirrors, and enough space for smallgroup training. Additionally, a place must be set aside for video recording equipment.

1. Human patient simulators: The focal point is typically a life-size patient simulator with realistic breathing, blinking, heart, pulse, and respiratory sounds. This mannequin might have cutting-edge technology. For instance, it might "interact" with students using computer-assisted instruction programs. Vital signs can be shown on attached monitors, which enables a virtual reproduction of practically all-important biological functions [47]. The scenarios that can be used with this simulator range from straightforward physical examination to multidisciplinary catastrophic trauma therapy [52]. Some simulators can even detect injected drugs using a laser bar-code reader and then react by changing vital signs as necessary.

2. Simulated clinical environment: The crash cart and all the necessary supplies are ready in an operating room, emergency room cubicle, or critical care unit. The environment is as accurate as the facility itself. The setup and settings might be

familiarized by the trainees.

3. Virtual procedure stations: According to the focus, many stations can be set up. For procedures including bronchoscopy, colonoscopy, and intubation, these stations will be equipped with all the necessary tools. The trainee can practice using a range of scenarios and diseases presented by the simulators until they have mastered the method [51].

4. Electronic medical records: This could also be a station set up in the center as more medical facilities switch to electronic medical records for patient management and tracking. False patients will be used in the system, complete with histories, notes, and lab results. System integration may also occur, for example, when records are linked to the laboratory and the results of radiology.

#### **Safety and simulation**

The safety of the healthcare system can be compared to other high-risk professions including aviation, the military, and nuclear power production. In these fields, safety is dependent on eliminating human error and having redundant components built into the systems. Failures in these environments may result in morbidity and mortality [18-21], [36]. The frequency of medical errors is one of the important performance measures used by hospitals. Patient safety is undoubtedly the most interesting aspect to examine when examining the value of simulation in healthcare [21-23], [49].

The paradigm of learning is a crucial idea in medical safety. The apprenticeship approach has historically been used in medicine. On the first day of their internship, trainees and residents start providing care for patients under the supervision of more seasoned professionals, who act as a safety net in case mistakes are made. Even if they learn about medical care before taking care of their first patient, there must be a first time for high-risk surgeries, resuscitation, and the application of crucial decision-making abilities on actual patients. In addition to standard medical education, simulation offers a different learning approach. Even though these simulation exposures are simply imagined circumstances, they can guarantee that the inhabitants are exposed to these emergencies. For the execution of procedures, prior to performing these treatments on people, simulations do enable for the

development of experience [17, 21-24].

In patient care settings, high-fidelity simulations are an educationally successful adjunct to conventional instruction [48]. The simulation characteristics that best support learning include [21].

– Feedbacks

– Consistent practice

– Curriculum integration

– The capacity to vary the degree of difficulty

## **Department of Simulation Medical Technologies in Odesa National Medical University**

The cycle "Simulation and virtual technologies in medicine" was upgraded in 2021, and the cycle "Medical ethics, deontology, and professional communication" was formed, both with the assistance of top experts from clinical departments at the Odessa National Medical University. Their invention has contributed to the development of practical abilities and raised students' capacity to deliver emergency treatment. To enhance student learning algorithms, multidisciplinary collaboration with top clinical departments is taking place during these cycles.

Medical ethics, deontology, and professional communication are covered in the training directions for the cycles "Simulation and virtual technologies in medicine" and "Medical ethics, deontology, and professional communication." These directions include both practical stations (where practical skills from top disciplines are developed in accordance with contemporary emergency care protocols, using high-quality mannequins with feedback) and full practice of simulation scenarios for emergencies. Acute coronary syndrome, pulmonary edema, severe bronchial asthma attacks, anaphylactic shock in adults and children, hypo/hyperglycaemia in adults and children, and all scenarios according to BLS, ACLS, PBLS, and PALS are all performed in addition to the aforementioned most common emergency conditions [26].

Students can practice the following practical skills from the emergency situations throughout cycles: needle decompression during tension pneumothorax, cricothyroidotomy, pericardium puncture, and figuring out the algorithm first person

on a scene during a Trauma scenario.

Execution of a typical scenario includes:

1. The briefing (brief explanation of goals and objectives, dummy capabilities, and expected results)

2. Scenario (a group of students (interns) of 5-6 people on their own without the presence of a teacher, cope with a clinical task on high-fidelity mannequins)

3. Debriefing (analysis of the scenario based on video recording, conclusions) [25], [27], [29], [30].

Another consideration is the scenario's broadcast for professors and other students, who may monitor the situation as it develops in real-time [34].

Students in the last courses (6th year of study) within the training cycle, as well as interns from all disciplines, pass all situations. Separately, it is crucial that interns with interests in Emergency Medicine, Traumatology and Orthopaedics, Anaesthesiology and Reanimatology, Cardiology, and General Practice - Family Medicine must complete emergency scenario exams.

The implementation of such scenarios and the development of practical skills, according to the review's authors, are important key points in acquiring competencies for the future. Another point is the issue of practicing doctors regularly attending trainings in order to advance their credentials and continuously update pertinent modern knowledge and skills at a competent level.

#### **Conclusion**

A new avenue for medical education has been made possible by simulationbased training. Protocols and algorithms can be used to put evidence-based practices into action, and simulated scenarios can be used to test them out. Integration of simulation training into regular educational programs is essential for its success. A program of this nature must involve the clinical faculty from the beginning of its creation. Early adopters and champions will recognize the potential of VR learning and put in time and effort to assist in curriculum development. The larger medical community can then be involved with their assistance. In addition to the benefits of standard didactic instruction, teamwork training that is carried out in a virtual setting may also improve performance and perhaps even cut down on mistakes. Examining

the enhancement of clinical competence and its effects on patient safety can help determine the cost-effectiveness of potentially expensive simulation-based medical education and training.

Perhaps with the acceptance of simulation as a requirement for training and certification, the public will perceive health care systems as more responsible and moral. Many skills, including professionalism, communication, self-evaluation, time management, and teamwork, are acquired by students through simulationbased learning. Simulator technology has undergone tremendous advancements as a result of the revolution in surgical training that was sparked by time constraints and patient safety concerns. The training for surgeons has undergone some fresh alterations as a result, though. The need for educators to be meticulous in confirming that standard training is beneficial and that simulation can genuinely enhance trainees' capacity to provide patient care has arisen from the need for surgical training to be held accountable for its efficacy. It's unclear whether surgical simulation actually makes skill learning more effective; more accurate ways to gauge skill acquisition will be needed for this procedure. The question of how often and in what circumstances to employ simulations in clinical training will now present a challenge for educators. We'll likely find a means to maximize skill acquisition for medical students and residents as simulator technology advances and methods for measuring skill acquisition advance. Future physician quality should rise while training costs should ideally go down as a result.

#### **Declaration of conflicting interests**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

#### **Acknowledgments**

This review corresponds to the Scientific work of the Department of Simulation Medical Technologies at the Odesa National Medical University (Number of research work 0122U200307).

#### **References:**

1. So, H. Y., Chen, P. P., Wong, G., & Chan, T. (2019). Simulation in medical education*. The journal of the Royal College of Physicians of Edinburgh*, 49(1), 52–57. https://doi.org/10.4997/JRCPE.2019.112

- 2. Datta, R., Upadhyay, K., & Jaideep, C. (2012). Simulation and its role in medical education. *Medical journal, Armed Forces India*, 68(2), 167–172. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377- 1237(12)60040-9
- 3. Moya R, P., Ruz A, M., Parraguez L, E., Carreño E, V., Rodríguez C, A. M., & Froes M, P. (2017). Efectividad de la simulación en la educación médica desde la perspectiva de seguridad de pacientes [Simulation in medical education from the perspective of patients safety]. *Revista medica de Chile*, 145(4), 514–526.<https://doi.org/10.4067/S0034-98872017000400012>
- 4. Abas, T., & Juma, F. Z. (2016). Benefits of simulation training in medical education. *Advances in medical education and practice*, 7, 399–400. https://doi.org/10.2147/ AMEP.S110386
- 5. Riaz, S. (2019). How Simulation-Based Medical Education Can Be Started In Low Resource Settings. *Journal of Ayub Medical College, Abbottabad* : JAMC, 31(4), 636–637. [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/336685275\\_How\\_Simulation-](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/336685275_How_Simulation-Based_Medical_Education_Can_Be_Started_In_Low_Resource_Settings)[Based\\_Medical\\_Education\\_Can\\_Be\\_Started\\_In\\_Low\\_Resource\\_Settings](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/336685275_How_Simulation-Based_Medical_Education_Can_Be_Started_In_Low_Resource_Settings) \
- 6. Aebersold, M., & Tschannen, D. (2013). Simulation in nursing practice: the impact on patient care. *Online journal of issues in nursing*, 18(2), 6. https://doi.org/10.3912/ OJIN.Vol18No02Man06
- 7. Bradley P. (2006). The history of simulation in medical education and possible future directions. *Medical education*, 40(3), 254–262. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2929.2006.02394.x) [2929.2006.02394.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2929.2006.02394.x)
- 8. Barjis J. (2011). Healthcare Simulation and its Potential Areas and Future Trends. *SCS M&S Mag*. –, vol. 1, no. January, pp. 1–6, 2011. [https://www.scs.org/wp](https://www.scs.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/2011-01-Issue05-4.pdf)[content/uploads/2016/12/2011-01-Issue05-4.pdf](https://www.scs.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/2011-01-Issue05-4.pdf)
- 9. Bowen Loftin, R. (2022). Grand Challenges in Medical Modeling and Simulation. *Health Science*. pp. 16–19, 2002. [https://studylib.net/doc/7245134/grand-challenges-in-medical](https://studylib.net/doc/7245134/grand-challenges-in-medical-modeling-and-simulation)[modeling-and-simulation](https://studylib.net/doc/7245134/grand-challenges-in-medical-modeling-and-simulation)
- 10. Issenberg, S. B., McGaghie, W. C., Hart, I. R., Mayer, J. W., Felner, J. M., Petrusa, E. R., Waugh, R. A., Brown, D. D., Safford, R. R., Gessner, I. H., Gordon, D. L., & Ewy, G. A. (1999*). Simulation technology for health care professional skills training and assessment*. JAMA, 282(9), 861–866.<https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.282.9.861>
- 11. Lowe P.N., Chen M.V. (2008). System of systems complexity: Modeling and simulation issues. *Simul. Interoperability Stand. Organ. - SISO Eur. Simul. Interoperability Work. EURO SIW 2008*, no. 2, pp. 299–308, 2008. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2015.07.151.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2015.07.151)
- 12. Mielczarek B., Uzialko-Mydlikowska J. (2010). Application of computer simulation modeling in the health care sector: a survey. *Simulation,* vol. 88, no. 2, pp. 197–216, 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F0037549710387802>

- 13. Blaser R., Schnabel M., Mann D., Jancke P., Kuhn K., Lenz R. (2004). Potential prevention of medical errors in casualty surgery by using information technology. *in Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on Applied Computing*, 2004, pp. 285–290. https://doi.org/10.1145/ 967900.967961
- 14. Eldabi T. (2009). Implementation issues of modeling healthcare problems: Misconceptions and lessons. Proc. - *Winter Simul. Conf.,* pp. 1831– 1839, 2009. [https://vdoc.pub/download/handbook-of-healthcare-operations-management-methods-and](https://vdoc.pub/download/handbook-of-healthcare-operations-management-methods-and-applications-4l1lgeigss30)[applications-4l1lgeigss30](https://vdoc.pub/download/handbook-of-healthcare-operations-management-methods-and-applications-4l1lgeigss30)
- 15. Lin, L., Isla, R., Doniz, K., Harkness, H., Vicente, K. J., & Doyle, D. J. (1998). Applying human factors to the design of medical equipment: patient-controlled analgesia. *Journal of clinical monitoring and computing*, 14(4), 253–263. https://doi.org/10.1023/ a:1009928203196
- 16. Brailsford, S.C. (2007). Tutorial: advances and challenges in healthcare simulation modeling*. in Proceedings of the 2007 Winter Simulation Conference,* 2007, pp. 1436–1448. <https://www.informs-sim.org/wsc07papers/173.pdf>
- 17. Gupta, A., Peckler, B., & Schoken, D. (2008). Introduction of hi-fidelity simulation techniques as an ideal teaching tool for upcoming emergency medicine and trauma residency programs in India. *Journal of emergencies, trauma, and shock*, 1(1), 15–18. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0974-2700.41787>
- 18. Henriksen, K., & Dayton, E. (2006). Issues in the design of training for quality and safety. *Quality & safety in health care*, 15 Suppl 1(Suppl 1), i17–i24. <https://doi.org/10.1136/qshc.2005.016774>
- 19. Farrow D. (1982). Reducing the risk of military aircrew training through simulation technology. *Performance and Instruction*. 1982;21:3–8.<https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ260142>
- 20. Helmreich, R. L., Merritt, A. C., & Wilhelm, J. A. (1999). The evolution of Crew Resource Management training in commercial aviation. *The International journal of aviation psychology,* 9(1), 19–32. [https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327108ijap0901\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327108ijap0901_2)
- 21. Morey, J. C., Simon, R., Jay, G. D., Wears, R. L., Salisbury, M., Dukes, K. A., & Berns, S. D. (2002). Error reduction and performance improvement in the emergency department through formal teamwork training: evaluation results of the MedTeams project. *Health services research*, 37(6), 1553–1581.<https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6773.01104>
- 22. Woolliscroft, J. O., Calhoun, J. G., Tenhaken, J. D., & Judge, R. D. (1987). Harvey: the impact of a cardiovascular teaching simulator on student skill acquisition*. Medical teacher,* 9(1), 53– 57.<https://doi.org/10.3109/01421598709028980>
- 23. DeVita, M. A., Schaefer, J., Lutz, J., Wang, H., & Dongilli, T. (2005). Improving medical emergency team (MET) performance using a novel curriculum and a computerized human

patient simulator*. Quality & safety in health care*, 14(5), 326–331. <https://doi.org/10.1136/qshc.2004.011148>

- 24. Lateef F. (2008). What's new in emergencies, trauma, and shock? Role of simulation and ultrasound in acute care. *Journal of emergencies, trauma, and shock,* 1(1), 3–5. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0974-2700.41779>
- 25. Swanson, D. B., & van der Vleuten, C. P. (2013). Assessment of clinical skills with standardized patients: state of the art revisited. *Teaching and learning in medicine,* 25 Suppl 1, S17–S25.<https://doi.org/10.1080/10401334.2013.842916>
- 26. Marichereda, V.G., Rogachevsky, O.P., Borsh, V.I. & Kryvtsova, N.V. (2018). Modern technologies of advancing the qualities of medical education. *Integrative anthropology,* 2018. № 2 (32):70-74. [http://files.odmu.edu.ua/anthropology/2018/02/a182\\_74.pdf](http://files.odmu.edu.ua/anthropology/2018/02/a182_74.pdf)
- 27. Salik, I., & Paige, J. T. (2022). Debriefing the Interprofessional Team in Medical Simulation. *In StatPearls. StatPearls Publishing.* <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32119413/>
- 28. Motola, I., Devine, L. A., Chung, H. S., Sullivan, J. E., & Issenberg, S. B. (2013). Simulation in healthcare education: a best evidence practical guide. AMEE Guide No. 82. *Medical teacher,* 35(10), e1511–e1530.<https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2013.818632>
- 29. Cheng, A., Palaganas, J., Eppich, W., Rudolph, J., Robinson, T., & Grant, V. (2015). Codebriefing for simulation-based education: a primer for facilitators. *Simulation in healthcare: journal of the Society for Simulation in Healthcare,* 10(2), 69–75. <https://doi.org/10.1097/SIH.0000000000000077>
- 30. Grant, V. J., Robinson, T., Catena, H., Eppich, W., & Cheng, A. (2018). Difficult debriefing situations: A toolbox for simulation educators. *Medical teacher*, 40(7), 703–712. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159X.2018.1468558>
- 31. Korayem, G. B., Alshaya, O. A., Kurdi, S. M., Alnajjar, L. I., Badr, A. F., Alfahed, A., & Cluntun, A. (2022). Simulation-Based Education Implementation in Pharmacy Curriculum: A Review of the Current Status. *Advances in medical education and practice*, 13, 649–660. <https://doi.org/10.2147/AMEP.S366724>
- 32. Archana, S., Nilakantam, S. R., Hathur, B., & Dayananda, M. (2021). The need and art of establishing skill and simulation centers to strengthen skill-based medical education: Learning insights and experience. *Annals of African medicine*, 20(4), 247–254. [https://doi.org/10.4103/aam.aam\\_53\\_20](https://doi.org/10.4103/aam.aam_53_20)
- 33. Okuda, Y., Bryson, E. O., DeMaria, S., Jr, Jacobson, L., Quinones, J., Shen, B., & Levine, A. I. (2009). The utility of simulation in medical education: what is the evidence?. *The Mount Sinai journal of medicine, New York*, 76(4), 330–343. <https://doi.org/10.1002/msj.20127>
- 34. Eddy, K., Jordan, Z., & Stephenson, M. (2016). Health professionals' experience of teamwork

education in acute hospital settings: a systematic review of qualitative literature. *JBI database of systematic reviews and implementation reports*, 14(4), 96–137. <https://doi.org/10.11124/JBISRIR-2016-1843>

- 35. Walsh, C., Lydon, S., Byrne, D., Madden, C., Fox, S., & O'Connor, P. (2018). The 100 Most Cited Articles on Healthcare Simulation: A Bibliometric Review. *Simulation in healthcare : journal of the Society for Simulation in Healthcare,* 13(3), 211–220. <https://doi.org/10.1097/SIH.0000000000000293>
- 36. Freytag, J., Stroben, F., Hautz, W. E., Eisenmann, D., & Kämmer, J. E. (2017). Improving patient safety through better teamwork: how effective are different methods of simulation debriefing? *Protocol for a pragmatic, prospective and randomised study. BMJ open*, 7(6), e015977.<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-015977>
- 37. Jani, P., & Wild, B. M. (2021). Simulation in Pediatrics: A Learning Lab for Education, Quality Improvement, and Patient Safety. *Pediatric annals*, 50(1), e13–e18. <https://doi.org/10.3928/19382359-20201214-01>
- 38. Aebersold M. (2016). The History of Simulation and Its Impact on the Future. *AACN advanced critical care*, 27(1), 56–61.<https://doi.org/10.4037/aacnacc2016436>
- 39. Phrampus P. E. (2018). Simulation and Integration Into Patient Safety Systems. *Simulation in healthcare : journal of the Society for Simulation in Healthcare*, 13(4), 225–226. <https://doi.org/10.1097/SIH.0000000000000332>
- 40. Macrae C. (2018). Imitating Incidents: How Simulation Can Improve Safety Investigation and Learning From Adverse Events. *Simulation in healthcare : journal of the Society for Simulation in Healthcare*, 13(4), 227–232.<https://doi.org/10.1097/SIH.0000000000000315>
- 41. Diemer, G., Jaffe, R., Papanagnou, D., Zhang, X. C., & Zavodnick, J. (2019). Patient Safety Escape Room: A Graduate Medical Education Simulation for Event Reporting. *MedEdPORTAL : the journal of teaching and learning resources*, 15, 10868. [https://doi.org/10.15766/mep\\_2374-8265.10868](https://doi.org/10.15766/mep_2374-8265.10868)
- 42. Schmidt, E., Goldhaber-Fiebert, S. N., Ho, L. A., & McDonald, K. M. (2013). Simulation exercises as a patient safety strategy: a systematic review. *Annals of internal medicine,* 158(5 Pt 2), 426–432.<https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-158-5-201303051-00010>
- 43. Akaike, M., Fukutomi, M., Nagamune, M., Fujimoto, A., Tsuji, A., Ishida, K., & Iwata, T. (2012). Simulation-based medical education in clinical skills laboratory. *The journal of medical investigation* : JMI, 59(1-2), 28–35.<https://doi.org/10.2152/jmi.59.28>
- 44. Quesada Suescun, A., Burón Mediavilla, F. J., Castellanos Ortega, A., del Moral Vicente-Mazariegos, I., González Fernández, C., Olalla Antolín, J. J., Rabanal Llevot, J. M., Rodríguez Borregán, J. C., & Teja Barbero, J. L. (2007). Formación en la asistencia al paciente crítico y politraumatizado: papel de la simulación clínica [Training in the care of the critical

and multiple-injured patient: role of clinical simulation]. *Medicina intensiva*, 31(4), 187–193. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0210-5691\(07\)74805-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0210-5691(07)74805-2)

- 45. Bogár, P. Z., Tóth, L., Rendeki, S., Mátyus, L., Németh, N., Boros, M., Nagy, B., Nyitrai, M., & Maróti, P. (2020). Az egészségügyi szimulációs oktatás jelene és jövője Magyarországon [The present and the future of medical simulation education in Hungary]. *Orvosi hetilap*, 161(26), 1078–1087.<https://doi.org/10.1556/650.2020.31761>
- 46. Nestel, D., Groom, J., Eikeland-Husebø, S., & O'Donnell, J. M. (2011). Simulation for learning and teaching procedural skills: the state of the science. *Simulation in healthcare : journal of the Society for Simulation in Healthcare,* 6 Suppl, S10–S13. <https://doi.org/10.1097/SIH.0b013e318227ce96>
- 47. Våpenstad, C., & Buzink, S. N. (2013). Procedural virtual reality simulation in minimally invasive surgery. *Surgical endoscopy*, 27(2), 364–377. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-012-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-012-2503-1) [2503-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-012-2503-1)
- 48. Dromey, B. P., Peebles, D. M., & Stoyanov, D. V. (2021). A Systematic Review and Metaanalysis of the Use of High-Fidelity Simulation in Obstetric Ultrasound. *Simulation in healthcare : journal of the Society for Simulation in Healthcare*, 16(1), 52–59. <https://doi.org/10.1097/SIH.0000000000000485>
- 49. Doyle D. (2007). Ergonomics, patient safety, and engineering ethics: a case study and cautionary tale. *Journal of long-term effects of medical implants*, 17(1), 27–33. <https://doi.org/10.1615/jlongtermeffmedimplants.v17.i1.40>
- 50. Lin, L., Vicente, K. J., & Doyle, D. J. (2001). Patient safety, potential adverse drug events, and medical device design: a human factors engineering approach. *Journal of biomedical informatics*, 34(4), 274–284.<https://doi.org/10.1006/jbin.2001.1028>
- 51. Kelly, R., Leung, G., Lindstrom, H., Wunder, S., & Yu, J. C. (2021). Virtual OSCE experiences and performance in Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation residency. American journal of physical medicine & rehabilitation, 10.1097/PHM.0000000000001942. *Advance online publication*.<https://doi.org/10.1097/PHM.0000000000001942>
- 52. Gala, S. G., & Crandall, M. L. (2019). Global Collaboration to Modernize Advanced Trauma Life Support Training. *Journal of surgical education*, 76(2), 487–496. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsurg.2018.08.011>

## **SCIENTIFIC EDITION**



## **SCIENTIFIC COLLECTION «INTERCONF»**

**№ 121 | August, 2022**

**The issue contains***:*

Proceedings of the 4<sup>th</sup> International Scientific and Practical Conference

### **SCIENTIFIC TRENDS AND TRENDS IN THE CONTEXT OF GLOBALIZATION**

UMEÅ, SWEDEN 19-20.08.2022

Published online: August 20, 2022 Printed: September 19, 2022. Circulation: 200 copies.

#### **Contacts of the editorial office:**

Scientific Publishing Center «InterConf» E-mail: info@ interconf.top URL: https://www.interconf.top



**InterConf** Scientific Publishing Center